Branch State HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP 1 BLAKE MUIR HARPER, SBN: 115756 2 JENNIFER A. KAGAN, SBN: 234554 550 West C Street, Suite 1600 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 338-1133 Facsimile: (619) 338-1139 e-mail:bmh@hulettharper.com 6 jenni@hulettharper.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff [Additional Counsel on Signature Page] 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SOUTHERN DIVISION 11 Case No. SACV07-391 AG(ANx) 12 WILLIAM KORNFELD, JR., Individually and On Behalf of All 13 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT Others Similarly Situated, FOR VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL 14 SECURITIES LAWS Plaintiff, 15 16 ν. 17 ROBERT K. COLE, PATTI M. 18 DODGE, BRAD A. MORRICE, EDWARD F. GOTSCHALL, 19 HAROLD A. BLACK, FREDRIC J. 20 FORSTER, DONALD E. LANGE, WILLIAM J. POPEJOY, MICHAEL 21 M. SACHS, RICHARD A. ZONA, 22 MARILYN A. ALEXANDER, DAVID EINHORN and NEW CENTURY 23 FINANCIAL CORPORATION, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 24 Defendants. 25 26 2.7 28

б

INTRODUCTION

This is a federal class action on behalf of purchasers of New Century Financial Corp. ("New Century" or the "Company") Series A Preferred shares and/or Series B Preferred shares, in connection with the Company's June 2005 and/or August 2006 Preferred Share Offerings (collectively, the "Offerings"), seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"). As alleged herein, in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, Defendants issued joint Proxy-Prospectus and Registration Statements that each contained and incorporated materially false and misleading statements, and omitted to reveal material information necessary to make Defendants' statements, in light of such material omissions, not materially false and misleading.

OVERVIEW

- 1. Co-founded by Robert Cole, Brad Morrice and Edward Gotschall in 1995, New Century now purports to operate as a real estate investment trust in the United States, originating and purchasing mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had 35 regional operating centers located in 18 states and originated and purchased loans through its network of 47,000 mortgage brokers, as well as operated a central retail telemarketing unit, 2 regional processing centers, and 222 sales offices.
- 2. As a result of the remarkable growth in the Company and its loan origination and servicing business, at all relevant times, New Century needed access to huge amounts of cash and debt (i.e. liquidity) to support its massive lending operations. In fact, as New Century continued to increase its loan volumes, it needed more and more cash to pay overhead and to reserve for loan losses. One way that Defendants were able raise these funds was through the sale of Preferred shares in public Offerings In fact, in June 2005 and in August 2006, Defendants sold over \$178 million in Series A and Series B Preferred shares. combined—

\$120.75 million sold in June 2005 and \$57.5 million sold in August 2006.

- To effectuate these sales, at the time of these Preferred Share Offerings, Defendants repeatedly stated that New Century was achieving strong results, that the Company was operating according to plan, and that New Century maintained adequate accounting controls and procedures and, accordingly, the Company was adequately reserved to meet its present and expected loan losses. Statements to this effect were contained in the joint Proxy-Prospectus and Registration Statements issued in connection with each of these Preferred Share Offerings, and also contained in the Company's 2004 and 2005 Form(s) 10-K and its 10:06 and 20:06 Form(s) 10-Q, incorporated by reference therein.
 - Throughout the relevant period, Defendants also repeatedly stated that New Century had already made all necessary adjustments to the Company's financial statements and balance sheet, and that New Century's reserves were periodically reviewed and adjusted, and had been determined to be sufficient. However, the representations concerning the Company's systems and controls, and Defendants' statements concerning New Century's financial condition, loan reserves and GAAP compliance were patently untiue.
 - Unbeknownst to investors, at the time of the June 2005 and August 5. 2006 Preferred Share Offerings, New Century was suffering from a host of undisclosed adverse factors which were negatively impacting its business and that would foreseeably cause it to report declining financial results - materially less than the market expectations Defendants had caused and cultivated. In particular, at the time of the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings:
 - It was not true that the Company maintained necessary and proper internal financial controls and operational procedures so as to assure that New Century's financial results were true, accurate or reliable, or reported in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. In fact, at all relevant times, the Company's reported results

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

4

5

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28 were not true and accurate, and they did not contain all necessary adjustments and loan loss reserves or reflect the true financial or operational condition of New Century.

- As a result of the Company's lack of internal operational procedures and financial controls, and as a result of Defendants' failure to properly account for its allowances for loan losses and/or loan repurchases, at the time of the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings, New Century's financial results were not true or accurate, and they were not prepared in conformity with GAAP or SEC accounting rules.
- At the time of the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings, Defendants had presented a financial statement and balance sheet that materially overstated the Company's profitability by underreporting reserves, by over-reporting New Century's asset values, and by failing to make proper, timely adjustments to the Company's operational and financial reports.
- As a result of the aforementioned adverse conditions that Defendants failed to disclose, at the time of the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings, Defendants lacked any reasonable basis to claim that the Company was operating according to plan, or that New Century could achieve guidance sponsored and/or endorsed by Defendants.
- It was only beginning on February 7, 2007, that investors ultimately learned the truth about New Century after Defendants revealed that the Company's previously filed financial statements for the first three quarters of 2006 could not be relied upon, and that New Century would be forced to restate that period, at a In addition to the foregoing, at that time Defendants also lowered forward guidance.

- 7. Following these belated disclosures, New Century Preferred shares declined precipitously with Series B Preferred shares falling from just below \$25.00 per share on February 7, 2007 to a close of just above \$19.00 per share within two trading days, on February 12, 2006.
- 8. On March 5, 2007, New Century's Preferred shares continued to fall after Standard & Poor's credit and debt rating agency cut its ratings on the Company to CCC rating cight levels below investment grade levels following reports of a criminal investigation into the Company. According to reports, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California is now conducting a federal criminal inquiry into trading in New Century securities as well as accounting errors. According to S&P, "the investigation and the damage it might do to the Company's reputation create concern about New Century's ability to maintain its warehouse lending lines, which are necessary to fund mortgage originations."
- 9. Following the announcement, on March 2, 2007, of the U.S Attorney's investigation into the Company, when shares resumed trading on March 5, 2007, New Century's Preferred shares again declined precipitously with Series B Preferred shares falling from \$19.15 per share on March 2, 2007 to a close of \$8.00 per share the following trading day. Series A Preferred Shares also declined materially at or about the same time.
- 10. In addition to the foregoing, on March 7, 2007, Defendants also revealed that New Century was operating well below analysts' expectations, that the Company could not support guidance and that, "as a result of its current constrained funding capacity" New Century had ceased accepting loan applications from prospective borrowers effectively putting an end to the Company's lending activities indefinitely.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. Jurisdiction is conferred by § 22 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 77v. The claims asserted herein arise under §§ 11,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act, §§ 77k and 77o, and rules promulgated 1 thereunder by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") [15 U.S.C. 2 3 §§ 78j(b)].
 - Venue is proper in this District pursuant to § 22 of the Securities Act 12. [15 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Defendant New Century maintains its principal place of business within this District, and/or the individual Defendants conduct business in, and many of the acts giving rise to the violations complained of herein took place in this District.
 - In connection with the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, 13. directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce including, but not limited to, the mails, interstate telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities markets.

PARTIES

Plaintiff William Kornfeld, Jr., as set forth in the accompanying 14. certification, incorporated by reference herein, purchased New Century Series A and B Preferred stock in connection with and/or traceable to the Series A and B Preferred Share Offerings, at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and has been damaged thereby.

Corporate Defendant

Defendant New Century is a Maryland corporation with its principal 15. place of business and chief executive offices located at 18400 Von Karman, Irvine, CA 92612. Co-founded by Robert Cole, Brad Morrice and Edward Gotschall in 1995, New Century purports to operate as a real estate investment trust in the United States, originating and purchasing mortgage loans. The Company's Wholesale division provides loans through a network of independent mortgage brokers and correspondent lenders, and through its website. The Company's Retail division operates and originates loans through a consumer-direct channel and a builder/realtor channel, including radio, direct mail, telemarketing, television

advertising, and the Internet. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had 35 regional operating centers located in 18 states and originated and purchased loans through its network of 47,000 mortgage brokers, as well as operated a central retail telemarketing unit, 2 regional processing centers, and 222 sales offices. New Century Financial Corporation qualifies as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. As a REIT, it is not subject to federal income tax to the extent it distributes 90% of taxable income to its shareholders.

Individual Defendants

Series A Preferred Share Offering and/or the August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, and was a co-Founder of the Company. Defendant Cole prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Cole also signed and certified the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.

17. Defendant Patti M. Dodge ("Dodge") was at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of the Company.² Defendant Dodge prepared and/or assisted in the

Defendant Cole was replaced as CEO on 7/1/06, but remained as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company until 1/1/07, and currently remains a member of the Board

² Soon after the Series B Preferred Share Offering, on 11/11/06, defendant Dodge was removed from the position of CFO and relegated to lead New Century's Investor Relations department.

preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Dodge also signed and certified the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.

- 18. Defendant Brad A. Morrice ("Morrice") was at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, Vice Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer as well as a founder of the Company. Defendant Morrice prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Morrice also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.
- June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, Vice Chairman (Finance) of the Board of Directors and a co-founder of the Company. Defendant Gotschall prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering Defendant Gotschall also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K incorporated by reference into each joint

In addition, on 7/1/06 defendant Morrice was also appointed CEO of New Century.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.

- Defendant Harold A. Black ("Black") was at the time of the June 2005 20. Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, a director of the Company. Defendant Black prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Black also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.
- Defendant Fredric J. Forster ("Forster") was at the time of the June 21. 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, a director of the Company - becoming Lead Director on or about 9/20/05. Defendant Forster prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Forster also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings. In addition to the foregoing, at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering, Defendants Forster, Lange, Sachs and Zona were members of the Audit Committee.
- Defendant Donald E. Lange ("Lange") was at the time of the June 22. 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, a director of the Company. Defendant Lange prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in

connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 1 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Lange also prepared and/or 2 assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited 3 to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K, incorporated by reference into 4 each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B 5 Preferred Share Offerings. In addition to the foregoing, at the time of the June 2005 6 Series A Preferred Share Offering, Defendants Lange, Forster, Sachs and Zona were 7 members of the Audit Committee and Defendants Sachs served as Chairman of our 8 Audit Committee.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Defendant William J. Popejoy ("Popejoy") was at the time of the June 23. 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering, an Officer of the Company, having served as Chief Information Officer and Executive Vice President for New Century.4 Defendant Popejoy prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Popejoy also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings.
 - Defendant Michael M. Sachs ("Sachs") was at the time of the June 24. 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, a director of the Company. Defendant Sachs prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Sachs also prepared and/or

26 27

Defendant Popejoy retired on or about June 5, 2006 - immediately prior to the August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2**i**

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings. In addition to the foregoing, at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering, defendant Sachs served as Chairman of the Company's Audit Committee and Defendants Lange, Forster and Sachs served as members of this Committee.

25. Defendant Richard A. Zona ("Zona") was at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, an Officer of the Company, having served as Chief Information Officer and Executive Vice President for New Century. Defendant Zona prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in connection with the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering and the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Zona also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form(s) 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the Series A and Series B Preferred Share Offerings. In addition to the foregoing, at the time of the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering, Defendants Zona, Lange, Forster and Sachs were members of the Audit Committee.

Defendant Marilyn A. Alexander ("Alexander") was at the time of the 26. June 2005 Series A and August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offerings, a director of the Company. Defendant Alexander prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in Connection with the June 2005 Series A and August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offerings. Defendant Alexander also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

the June 2005 and August 2006 Series A and B Preferred Share Offerings.

Defendant David Einhorn ("Einhorn") was at the time of the August 27. 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering, a director of the Company, having joined the Board on or about March 31, 2006.⁵ Defendant Einhorn prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the materially false and misleading Prospectus issued in Connection with the August 2006 Series B Preferred Stock Offering. Defendant Einhorn also prepared and/or assisted in the preparation of the Company's SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's Form(s) 10-Q and Form 10-K, incorporated by reference into each joint Proxy/Prospectus issued in connection with the August 2006 Series B Preferred Share Offering.

The Defendants referenced above in ¶¶ 16-27 are referred to herein as 28. the "Individual Defendants."

IPO Underwriter Defendants

In connection with the June 2005 Public Offering, the following 29. investment banks acted as "Lead Underwriters" of the Offering - distributing 4.2 million Preferred shares of New Century stock to investors and initiating the first public market for New Century Series A Preferred shares. Not including another 630,000 Series A Preferred shares distributed upon exercise of the underwriters' over-subscription allotment option, the distribution of the Series A Preferred shares awarded Underwriters occurred, as follows: Number of Shares

 Underwriters	Number of Shares
Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc	2,184,000
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.	588,000
Piper Jaffray & Co.	588,000
Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated	588,000

Defendant Einhorn resigned from the Company's Board of Directors, effective immediately as of March 7, 2007.

-	
Underwriters	Number of Shares
JMP Securities LLC	126,000
Roth Capital Partners, LLC	126,000
Total Series A Preferred Shares Sold	4,200,000
30. In connection with the June 2005 Series A Prefe	rred Share Offering,
the Underwriter Defendants were paid approximately \$3.8 mi	illion in fees – paid
indirectly by purchasers of the Company's shares. The Unc	lerwriter Defendants
were paid at least \$0.7875 per share in connection with the sal	e of the 4.83 million
Series A Preferred shares, including shares sold pursuant to	the exercise of the
	JMP Securities LLC Roth Capital Partners, LLC Total Series A Preferred Shares Sold 30. In connection with the June 2005 Series A Prefethe Underwriter Defendants were paid approximately \$3.8 mindirectly by purchasers of the Company's shares. The Underwere paid at least \$0.7875 per share in connection with the sale

Underwriter's over-subscription option, as follows:

:		To	tal	
	Per Share	Without erAllotment	Ove	With rAllotment
Underwriting discounts and commissions payable	\$0.7875	\$ 3,307,500	\$	3,803,625

B Public Share Offering, the following investment banks also acted as "Lead Underwriters" – distributing 2.0 million Series B Preferred New Century shares to investors and initiating the first public market for New Century Series B Preferred stock. Not including another 300,000 Series B Preferred shares distributed upon exercise of the underwriters' over-subscription allotment option, the distribution of the Series B Preferred shares awarded Underwriters occurred, as follows:

2.5

1	Underwriters		Number of	Shares	
2	Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.	,	- ,	750,000	
3	Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated			750,000	
4	Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorpor	rated		380,000 120,000	
5	Jefféries & Company, Inc.		•••		
6	Total Series B Preferred Sha	res Sold		2,000,000	
7			***************************************		
8	32. In connection with the August 2	006 Ser	ies B Preferred	Share Offering	
9	the Underwriter Defendants were paid over \$1.81 million in fees, indirectly paid by				
10	purchasers of the Company's shares. The Underwriter Defendants were paid at least				
11	\$0.7875 per share in connection with the sale of the 2.3 million Series B Preferred				
-	shares, including shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the Underwriter's over				
12	'				
13	subscription option, as follows: Total				
14					
15		Per Share	Without Overallotment	With Overallotment	
16				The state of the s	
17	Underwriting discounts and commissions	\$0.7875	\$1,575,000	\$1,811,250	
18	payable				
19	33. Shareholders were willing to	, and	did, pay over	\$5.6 million	
20	combined fees to compensate the Underwrit				
	significant due diligence investigations into				
21				ts due diligen	
22	the Preferred Share Offerings. The U	HUCKIWI.	iter nereman	to true armaa	

investigation was a critical component of the initial public Preferred Share Offerings, and it was supposed to provide investors with important safeguards and protections.

23

24

25

26

27

28

The due diligence investigations that were required by the Underwriter 34. Defendants included a detailed investigation into New Century's accounting and loan loss reserve assumptions that extended well beyond a mere casual review of

2

4

5 6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

New Century's accounting, financial report and operational and financial controls. The failure of the Underwriter Defendants to conduct an adequate due diligence investigation was a substantial contributing factor leading to the harm complained of herein.

- In addition to the foregoing, because of the Underwriter Defendants' 35. and Individual Defendants' positions with the Company, they each had access to the adverse undisclosed information about New Century's business, operations, products, operational trends, financial statements, markets and present and future business prospects via access to internal corporate documents (including the Company's operating plans, budgets and forecasts and reports of actual operations compared thereto), conversations and connections with other corporate officers and employees, attendance at management and Board of Directors meetings and committees thereof and via reports and other information provided to them in connection therewith.
- In addition to the Underwriting Defendants, it is also appropriate to treat the individuals named as Defendants herein as a group for pleading purposes (the "Individual Defendants") and to presume that the false, misleading and incomplete information conveyed in the Company's public filings, press releases and other publications as alleged herein are the collective actions of the narrowly defined group of Defendants identified above. Each of the Individual Defendants, by virtue of their high-level positions with the Company, directly participated in the management of the Company, were directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the highest levels and were privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company and its business, operations, products, growth, financial statements, and financial condition, as alleged herein. Accordingly, the Individual Defendants were also involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements and information alleged herein, and approved or ratified these statements, in violation of the federal securities laws.

1

2

3

As officers and controlling persons of a publicly-held company whose 37. common stock was, and is, registered with the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act, was traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"), and governed by the provisions of the federal securities laws, the Individual Defendants each had a duty to disseminate promptly, accurate and truthful information with respect to the Company's financial condition and performance, growth, operations, financial statements, business, products, markets, management, earnings and present and future business prospects, and to correct any previously-issued statements that had become materially misleading or untrue, so that the market price of the Company's publicly-traded common stock would be based upon truthful and accurate information. The Individual Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions made in connection with the issuance of Series A and Series B Preferred shares in June 2005 and August 2006, respectively, violated these specific requirements and obligations.

The Individual Defendants, because of their positions of control and 38. authority as officers and/or directors of the Company, were able to and did control the content of the various SEC filings, press releases and other public statements pertaining to the Company during the Class Period Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the documents alleged herein to be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and/or had the ability and/or opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Accordingly, each of the Individual Defendants is responsible for the accuracy of the public reports and releases detailed herein, and is therefore primarily liable for the representations contained therein.

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of 39. Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise acquired the Preferred Series A or Series B stock of New Century in connection with and/or traceable to the June 2005 and August 2006 public offerings, inclusive (the "Class") and who were damaged thereby. Excluded

7

9

10

11 12

.13

14.

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

- The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members 40. is impracticable. Following the June 2005 Offering, New Century preferred shares were actively traded on the NYSE, and following the August 2006 Preferred Share Offering, the Company had over 7.13 million shares of Series A and Series B Preferred stock, collectively, issued and outstanding. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by New Century or its transfer agent, and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class 41. as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members 42. of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.
- Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class 43. and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
- whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' (a) acts as alleged herein;
- whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

> 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

and management of New Century; and

- to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages (c) and the proper measure of damages.
- A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and 44. efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a Class action.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Materially False and Misleading Statements Contained in the Preferred Share Offering Statements

June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering

- On or about June 15, 2005, New Century initiated its Public Offering 45. of 4.2 million shares of Series A Preferred stock priced at \$25.00 each. In addition, Underwriters also received an option to purchase up to an additional 630,000 Series A Preseried shares to cover any over-allotments. Thereafter, New Century Series A Preferred shares were listed, and began trading on the NYSE.
- The New Century Series A Preferred Share Offering was made 46. through an underwriting syndicate led by Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc, who acted as sole "book-running lead manager" for the Offering, and including Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Piper Jaffray & Co., Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Inc., Securities LLC and Roth Capital Partners, LLC, who each acted as "co-managers." In connection with the Series A Preferred Share Offering, these Underwriters received gross proceeds of at least \$3.8 million, not including hundreds of thousands of dollars in added expenses. Gross proceeds from the sale of all Series A Preferred shares, including the Underwriters over-subscription option, were at least \$120.75 million.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In connection with the Series A Preferred Share Offering, on or about 47. June 17, 2005, Defendants also filed with the SEC, pursuant to Form 424B5, a copy of the final, amended joint Proxy-Prospectus. In addition to describing the terms and conditions of the Preferred Share Offering itself, the Series A Preferred Share Offering Proxy-Prospectus contained statements that distinguished these shares from shares of the Company's Common Stock. In this regard, the Series A Preferred Share Offering Prospectus stated, in part, the following:

Liquidation Preference

Upon our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up, then, before any distribution or payment shall be made to the holders of any common stock or any other class or series of our stock ranking junior to that class or series of our preferred stock in the distribution of assets upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the holders of each class or series of our preferred stock shall be entitled to receive out of our assets legally available for distribution to stockholders liquidating distributions in the amount of the liquidation preference per share (set forth in the applicable prospectus supplement), plus an amount equal to all dividends accrued and unpaid thereon (which shall not include any accumulation in respect of unpaid dividends for prior dividend periods if that class or series of our preferred stock does not have a cumulative dividend). After payment of the full amount of the liquidating distributions to which they are entitled, the holders of that class or series of our preferred stock will have no right or claim to any of our remaining assets. If, upon our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up, our legally available assets are insufficient to pay the amount of the liquidating distributions on all outstanding shares of that class or series of our preferred stock and the corresponding amounts payable on all

28

shares of other classes or series of our stock ranking on a parity with that class or series of our preferred stock in the distribution of assets upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, then the holders of that class or series of our preferred stock and all other classes or series of our stock shall share ratably in that distribution of assets in proportion to the full liquidating distributions to which they would otherwise be respectively entitled.

8

9

10

If liquidating distributions shall have been made in full to all holders of shares of that class or series of our preferred stock, our remaining assets shall be distributed among the holders of any other classes or series of our stock ranking junior to that class or series of our preferred stock upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, according to their respective rights and preferences and in each case according to their respective number of shares. For those purposes, neither our consolidation nor merger with or into any other corporation, trust or other entity nor the sale, lease, transfer or conveyance of all or substantially all of our property or business shall be deemed to constitute our liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

20

21

22

23

17

18

19

Voting Rights

Except as set forth below or as otherwise indicated in the applicable prospectus supplement, holders of our preferred stock will not have any voting rights.

19

25

26

27

24

Whenever dividends on any shares of that class or series of our preferred stock shall be in arrears for 18 months or six or more quarterly periods, the holders of those shares of that class or series of

our preferred stock (voting separately as a class with all other classes or series of our preferred stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable) will be entitled to vote for the election of two additional directors to our board of directors (and our entire board of directors will be increased by two directors) at a special meeting called by one of our officers at the request of a holder of that class or series of our preferred stock or, if that special meeting is not called by that officer within 30 days, at a special meeting called by a holder of that class or series of our preferred stock designated by the holders of record of at least 10% of the shares of any of those classes or series of our preferred stock (unless that request is received less than 90 days before the date fixed for the next annual or special meeting of the stockholders), or at the next annual meeting of stockholders, and at each subsequent annual meeting until:

 if that class or series of our preferred stock has a cumulative dividend, then all dividends accumulated on those shares of our preferred stock for the past dividend periods and the then current dividend period shall have been fully paid or declared and a sum

sufficient for the payment thereof set apart for payment, or

• if that class or series of our preferred stock does not have a cumulative dividend, then four consecutive quarterly periods of dividends shall have been fully paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof set apart for payment.

Unless provided otherwise in any prospectus supplements for any

series of our preferred stock, so long as any shares of our preferred stock remain outstanding, we shall not, without the affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least two-thirds of the shares of each class or series of our preferred stock outstanding at the time, given in person or by proxy, either in writing or at a meeting (that class or series voting separately as a class):

authorize or create, or increase the authorized or issued amount of, any class or series of our stock ranking senior to that

class or series of our preferred stock with respect to payment of dividends or the distribution of assets upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up or reclassify any of our authorized stock into those shares, or create, authorize or issue any obligation or security convertible into or evidencing the right to purchase those shares; or

amend, alter or repeal the provisions of the charter in respect of that class or series of our preferred stock, whether by merger, consolidation or otherwise, so as to materially and adversely affect any right, preference, privilege or voting power of that class or series of our preferred stock...

The Series A Preferred Share Offering Prospectus also contained 48. statements regarding New Century's controls and procedures, its purported loan loss reserve and accounting and its estimating practices. In this regard the Series A Preferred Share Offering Prospectus also stated, in part, the following:

25

We have controls and processes designed to help us identify misrepresented information in our loan origination operations.

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

We are required to establish reserves based on our anticipated delinquencies and losses. We also re-acquire the risks of delinquency and default for loans that we are obligated to repurchase. We attempt to manage these risks with risk-based loan pricing and appropriate underwriting policies and loan collection methods.

We also re-acquire the risks of delinquency and default for loans that we are obligated to repurchase. We attempt to manage these risks with risk-based loan pricing and appropriate underwriting policies and loan collection methods.

49. The June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Proxy-Prospectus also incorporated by reference several of the Company's then recent SEC filings, including but not limited to New Century's 2004 Annual Report, filed pursuant to Form 10-K. In addition to reiterating many of the same or similar statements concerning the Company and its operations as had been made in the joint Offering Proxy-Prospectus, the 2005 Form 10-K also represented to investors that the Company's financial statements and disclosures were made in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and that the Company's consolidated financial statements contained all necessary adjustments. In this regard, the Proxy-Prospectus stated, in part, the following:

Critical Accounting Policies

We have established various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States in the preparation of our financial statements. Certain accounting policies require us to make significant estimates and assumptions that may have a material impact on certain assets and liabilities or our results of operations, and we consider these to be critical accounting policies. The estimates and assumptions we use are

27

28

based on historical experience and other factors which we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material impact on the carrying value of assets and liabilities and our results of operations. We believe the following are critical accounting policies that require the most significant estimates and assumptions that are subject to significant change in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. These estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, the interest rate environment, the economic environment. secondary market conditions, and the performance of the loans underlying our residual assets and mortgage loans held for investment.

In addition to the general statements concerning the propriety of the 50. Company's purported internal adjustments and GAAP compliance, the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering Proxy-Prospectus also contained specific representations regarding New Century's significant accounting policies – including its accounting for loan loss and loss reserves – as follows:

Allowance for Losses on Mortgage Loans Held for Investment

For our mortgage loans held for investment, we establish an allowance for loan losses based on our estimate of losses inherent and probable as of the balance sheet date. We charge off uncollectible loans at the time of liquidation. We evaluate the adequacy of this allowance each quarter, giving consideration to factors such as the current performance of the loans, credit characteristics of the portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral and the general economic environment. In order to estimate an appropriate allowance for losses on loans held for investment, we estimate losses using "static pooling," which stratifies the loans held for investment into separately identified vintage pools. Using historic experience and taking into consideration

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the factors above, we estimate an allowance for credit losses, which we believe is adequate for known and inherent losses in the portfolio of mortgage loans held for investment. We charge the loss provision to our consolidated statement of operations. We charge losses incurred on mortgage loans held for investment to the allowance.

The allowance for losses on mortgage loans held for investment, as a percentage of mortgage loans held for investment as of December 31, 2004, was approximately 0.73% of the unpaid principal balance of the loans.

Provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment

We establish an allowance for loan losses based on our estimate of losses inherent and probable as of our balance sheet date. Provision for losses on mortgage loans held for investment increased to \$70.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$26.3 million for the same period in 2003, due to the increase in the portfolio of mortgage loans held for investment and related allowance for loan losses. Mortgage loans held for investment grew from \$4.7 billion at December 31, 2003 to \$13.2 billion at December 31, 2004.

51. In addition to the foregoing, the Proxy-Prospectus also purported to provide more color on New Century's business and operations and stated, in part, the following:

Business Strategy

Our business objective is to provide a stable and growing dividend to our stockholders by growing and managing a portfolio of mortgage related assets. We intend to execute this strategy by:

1

2

Building our portfolio of mortgage-related assets. We intend to increase our portfolio by retaining self-originated loans through securitizations structured as financings. We believe this portfolio will continue to increase our interest income and, accordingly, our dividend. We expect that our capacity to originate loans will provide us with a significant volume of loans at a lower cost and with greater reliability than if we purchased our portfolio from a third party.

Actively managing our mortgage loan portfolio. seek to actively manage the interest rate and credit risks relating to holding a portfolio of mortgage-related assets in an effort to generate an attractive risk-adjusted return on our stockholders' equity. We will continue to use hedge instruments to attempt to reduce the interest rate exposure that results from financing fixed-rate assets with floating-rate liabilities. We will also actively monitor our portfolio to manage our credit exposure detection and management of probable through early delinquencies.

Maintaining a strong capital and liquidity base. We will seek to strengthen our balance sheet by managing prudent levels of capital and liquidity and by increasing our liquidity and capital position through future offerings of debt and equity. We will also seek to increase available capacity under our credit facilities and to enhance our cash position by retaining some or all of our earnings in our taxable REIT subsidiaries. We believe a strong balance sheet will allow us to more prudently manage our loan portfolio during temporary market disruptions.

Underwriting Standards

The loans we originate or purchase generally do not satisfy conventional underwriting standards of conforming lenders. Therefore, our loans are likely to have higher delinquency and foreclosure rates than portfolios of mortgage loans underwritten to conventional standards.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

6

1

2

3

4

5

Our underwriting guidelines take into account the applicant's credit

history and capacity to repay the proposed loan as well as the secured property's value and adequacy as collateral for the loan. applicant completes an application that includes personal information on the applicant's liabilities, income, credit history and employment history. Based on our review of the loan application and other data from the applicant against our underwriting guidelines, we determine the loan terms, including the interest rate and maximum LTV.

15 16

[Emphasis added.]

17 18

19

52. As further evidence of the purported controls and procedures in place at the time of the 2004 Form 10-K, incorporated by reference into the June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering, the Proxy-Prospectus also stated, in part, the following:

20 21

Collateral Review

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

A qualified independent appraiser inspects and appraises each mortgage property and gives an opinion of value and condition. Following each appraisal, the appraiser prepares a report that includes a market value analysis based on recent sales of comparable homes in the area and, when appropriate, replacement cost analysis based on the current cost of constructing a similar home. All appraisals must conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice adopted by the

1: 2 3

4

5

6 . 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Appraisal Foundation's Appraisal Standards Board and are generally on forms acceptable to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Our underwriting guidelines require a review of the appraisal by one of our qualified employees or by a qualified review appraiser that we have retained. Our underwriting guidelines then require our underwriters to be satisfied that the value of the property being financed, as indicated by the appraisal, would support the requested loan amount.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2004, the end of our fourth quarter, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Vice Chairman-Finance, Chief Financial Officer, and President and Chief Operating Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer, Vice Chairman-Finance, Chief Financial Officer, and President and Chief Operating Officer concluded, as of December 31, 2004, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

25

26

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

27 28

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining

7

8:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

effective internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2004, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, has been audited by KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited our consolidated financial statements. KPMG's report on

reporting appears on page F-3 hereof.

23

24

25

26

(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. During 2004, we initiated the implementation of a new integrated Loan Origination System (the "new LOS") for our wholesale production units and related support units. As of December 31, 2004, all of our wholesale production and related support units were using the new

management's assessment of our internal control over financial

LOS. The implementation of this new LOS required changes to our system of internal control over financial reporting. We reviewed each system as it was being implemented and the internal control affected by the implementation. We made appropriate changes to the affected internal controls as we implemented the new systems. We believe that the controls as modified are appropriate and functioning effectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we changed our capital structure in order to enable us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The conversion to a REIT has involved changes in internal controls; accordingly, these changes required changes to our system of internal control over financial reporting. We made appropriate changes

to the affected internal controls and believe that the controls as

modified are appropriate and functioning effectively.

Other than the matters set forth in the two immediately preceding paragraphs, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our quarter ended December 31, 2004 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

- The 2004 Form 10-K incorporated by reference into the Company's *5*3. June 2005 Series A Preferred Share Offering Prospectus also contained Certifications by Defendants Cole, Gotschall, Morrice and Dodge that attested to the purported accuracy and completeness of the Company's financial and operational reports, as follows:
 - 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of New Century Financial Corporation;
 - 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27